doi:10.6041/j.issn.1000-1298.2016.08.031

Correlation between Vegetable Oil Oxidation Kinetics and Triacylglycerol Compositions Based on Thermogravimetry

Zhang Qiaozhi¹ Ding Jian¹ Tong Wang² Jiang Lianzhou¹ Sui Xiaonan¹ Li Yang¹

(1. College of Food Science, Northeast Agricultural University, Harbin 150030, China

2. Department of Food Science and Human Nutrition, Iowa State University, Ames IA 50011, USA)

Abstract: Thermogravimetry (TG) and derivative thermogravimetry (DTG) were used to investigate the thermal oxidative properties of six normal vegetable oils. The influence of different heating programs on the weight changing curves was studied and the onset oxidation temperature (T_{on}) and peak oxidation temperature (T_p) were located from the DTG curves. Through the application of Ozawa – Flynn – Wall iso-conventional method, the oxidation kinetic properties of oils were deduced and the correlation between thermal behaviors and triacylglycerol composition was analyzed. The results showed that both T_{on} and T_p were increased with the raise of heating rate and T_p was varied more sensitively, which indicated that the reactions occurred around T_p could be more acuminous to the change in surroundings. Varying degrees of correlation were found between thermal behaviors and triacylglycerol distribution: T_{on} and E_a (T_{on}) were found to be positively correlated with high saturated triacylglycerols but negatively correlated with high unsaturated ones. Similar results were found between T_p , $E_a(T_p)$ and various components but with weaker correlations. Specific correlation was depended on the type of fatty acid chains on glycerol skeletons. Thus, predictions of oil thermal stability could be done according to thetriacylglycerol profiles. Thermogravimetry analysis was praised for trace sample size, fast operation and high sensitivity, which would show promising prospect in oil processing and quality control.

Key words: vegetable oil; oxidation kinetics; thermogravimetry; derivative thermogravimetry; correlation

0 Introduction

Vegetable oil is not only considered as an important part of the human dietary pattern, but also a major raw material in food processing industry. However, being exposed to light, heat or catalytic metals during storage, transportation and processing, vegetable oil is susceptible to oxidative deterioration, which is commonly known as the free radical chain mechanism. After a series of complicated reactions, the primary oxidation products finally lead to the formation of offflavor compounds (aldehyde, ketone, acid) and toxic $epoxides^{[1-2]}$. resulting in decreased sensory accceptablity and nutritional value. Consuming oxidized oil could also induce multiple physiological disorders in human bodies, such as damage in enzyme accelerated lipid peroxidation^[3]. system and Therefore, monitoring and controlling the oil oxidation process has long been a major hotpot in oil theoretical

To detect the oil oxidation research. degrees. numerous methods have been proposed, such as iodometry, ferric thiocyanate method, thiobarbituric acid (TBA), active oxygen method (AOM), Schall oven method and Rancimat^[4-5]. Being one commonly used thermal analysis instrument, thermalgravimetry (TG) can precisely record the mass variation of sample under different heating time or temperature. With the help of hypersensitized scale, the TG method could be applied to evaluate the oil oxidative stability, to determine the oil oxidative induction time, enthalpy change of reaction, initial decomposition temperature, etc^[6-7]. Compared with traditional methods, TG showed advantages of trace sample size, quick operation, and free of organic reagents. Thus it has been gradually applied in oil processing, quality control and new-type antioxidant research and development.

Oil oxidation is complex reactions which involve

Received date: 2016 - 01 - 02 Accepted date: 2016 - 03 - 10

Supported by "Twelfth Five-year" National Key Technology Support Program (Grant No. 2014BAD22B00)

Corresponding author: Li Yang, Associate professor. E-mail: liyanghuangyu@163.com. Tel: +86-0451-55190716.

multiple external and internal factors. Among them, the triacylglycerols (TAG) in oil represents not only the existence form of fatty acid but also the major substrate in oil deterioration. Thus, close relationship must exist between the oil oxidative process and its TAG compositions^[8]. During oil oxidation, several complex reactions including oxidation, fission and aggregation happened simultaneously, resulting quality changes in sample. Through recording these variations accurately, the TG method could monitor the intact oxidation progress. And with the combination of kinetic modeling, deduction of kinetic parameters and correlation study with chemical compositions, the oxidative properties of vegetable oil could further be elucidated^[9-14]. VECCHIO et al.^[9] compared the thermal decomposition kinetics of extra virgin olive oil as well as 4 saturated and unsaturated TAGs using TG and DTG. TOMASSETTI et al. [14] also reported the degradation processes of 5 saturated mono-, di- and triglycerides with these two methods. While, these existing studies showed uncomplete characterization of and the oxidation course uncomprehensive consideration of chemical compositions. Thus the goal of this paper is to determine the TG and DTG curves of various vegetable oils under different temperature programs and with the combination of temperature location, kinetic parameters calculation and Pearson correlation study, the relationship between oxidative properties and chemical compositions could be further discussed.

1 Materials and Methods

1.1 Materials and instruments

Totally 6 oil samples were chosen according to their different fatty acid compositions and unsaturation degrees: palm oil from Yijiang (Zhangjiagang) Cereal and Oil Industry Co., Ltd.; olive oil from Shanghai Dongsen Enterprise Development Co., Ltd.; sunflower oil from Shandong Xiwang Food Co., Ltd.; soybean oil from Zhangjiagang Zhongliang Donghai Cereal and Oil Industry Co., Ltd.; sesame oil from Tianjin Jiali Cereal and Oil Industry Co., Ltd. All the oil samples were purchased from local markets with similar manufacture date, consistent purification degree and labels showing free of artificial antioxidants. These oil samples were stored at 4℃ in brown bottles without headspace before analysis. Hexane, carrene, acetone and acetonitrile are of chromatographic grade.

STA449 F3 simultaneous thermoanalyzer coupled with bottom mounted electronic balance, mass flowmeter and NETZSCH – Propeus – 6. 1 data analysis software (Netzsch, Bavaria, Germany); Eksigent HPLC – TripleTOF 4600 system, AB SCIEX, CA, USA; ZORBAX SB a C18 column (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 μm, Agilent Technologies, USA).

1.2 Experimental method

1.2.1 Thermogravimetry analysis

Oxygen (99.99%, 80 mL/min) was used as the purge gas and nitrogen (99.99%, 20 mL/min) as the protective gas. To ensure constant interaction with the oxygen stream and uniformity of heat conduction, an oil sample of (3.0 ± 0.5) mg was weighed into an aluminum pan hermetically sealed with a pinhole lip in the center^[13]. The systems were equilibrated at 30°C for 5 min and then heated to 400°C at 5 heating rates $(5.0^{\circ}C/min, 7.5^{\circ}C/min, 10.0^{\circ}C/min, 12.5^{\circ}C/min,$ and 15.0°C/min) to generate the TG and DTG curves under each program.

1.2.2 Confirmation of temperatures and deduction of kinetic parameters

Since the tiny sample size here gives minor variation of TG curves during oxidation, the corresponding DTG curves were obtained by operating a first-order derivative of the TG data^[9, 14]. The onset oxidation temperature ($T_{\rm on}$) was regarded as when the DTG curve deviated away from the baseline and the peak oxidation temperature ($T_{\rm p}$) was obtained when the DTG curve bottomed out after the 1st peak (Fig. 1)^[9,11,15].



Fig. 1 Confirmation of the onset oxidation temperature and peak oxidation temperature of TG curve

Under the non-isothermal condition in this study, the oil oxidation kept proceeding in the excess state of O_2 , which means the process was independent of the O_2 concentration and followed a first order reaction^[9, 11, 13]. Using the Ozawa – Flynn – Wall isoconventional method and temperature parameters (T_{on} , T_p) obtained, the activation energy (E_a) and preexponential factor (A) were calculated using following equations.

$$\lg \beta = a \, \frac{1}{T} + b \tag{1}$$

Where β is the heating rate, K/min; *T* is the corresponding temperature T_{on} or T_{p} , K.

Through plotting $\lg \beta$ against $\frac{1}{T}$, the activation energy (E_a , J/mol) and pre-exponential factor (A, min⁻¹) can be directly computed using Eq. (2) ~ (3).

$$a = -0.4567 \frac{E_a}{R}$$
(2)

$$b = -2.315 + \lg\left(A\frac{E_a}{R}\right) \tag{3}$$

Where *a* and *b* are the slope and intercept of Eq. (1), respectively, *R* is the universal gas constant $(8.314 \text{ J/(mol} \cdot \text{K}))$.

1.2.3 Determination of triacylglycerols

The TAG composition was identified using HPLC coupled with a tandem quadrupole mass spectrometer and a C18 column. TAG separation was performed using n-hexane and dichloromethane as mobile phase A (1:1) and acetone and acetonitrile as mobile phase B (5:95). As previously reported, the energy needed to break a fatty acid at sn - 2 is larger than that at sn - 1or $sn - 3^{[18-20]}$. Thus the TAG variety at the glycerol skeleton can be inferred from the ion peak intensity and m/z number difference in the APCI spectrogram. Quantification could also be done through area normalization. The following elution program was performed: 0~20 min, held at 20% A; 20~35 min, increased to 80% A; 35 ~ 45 min, decreased back to 20% A. The column temperature and flow rate were set at 40°C and 0.6 mL/min.

1.2.4 Statistical analysis

All the tests were done in triplicate. The mean value, standard deviation, significant difference (Turkey multiple test, 95% confidence level) and Pearson correlation between thermal oxidation properties and TAG composition were conducted using the SPSS software (Version 22.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Kinetic linear fitting was

performed using Sigma Plot (Version 12.5).

2 **Results and discussion**

2.1 Determination of oil sample oxidation progress using TG/DTG

Fig. 1 shows the TG and DTG curves of olive oil under the heating rate of 5°C/min. The mass variation of oil sample during thermal decomposition could be exactly monitored through the DTG data. As previously illustrated, the oil oxidation progress mainly goes through three stages among $100 \sim 600^{\circ}$ C : $160 \sim 280^{\circ}$ C refers to the decomposition of saturated and unsaturated fatty acid chains (in some cases the first stage may prolong to 350° C, as shown in Fig. 2); 280 ~ 400 °C refers to the oxidation of volatile components; $400 \sim 600^{\circ}$ C refers to the reaction of carbon residues^[9, 14]. During the whole oil oxidation, the 1st stage mainly involved the free radical chain reaction of the fatty acid at glycerol skeleton. Thus, two temperatures, i. e., $T_{\rm on}$ and $T_{\rm p}$ were chosen here to present different oxidative gradations.

Totally 6 oil samples were heated under nonisothermal conditions using 5 heating rates (5.0℃/min, 7.5℃/min, 10.0℃/min, 12.5℃/min, and 15.0°C/min) and the corresponding DTG curves are shown in Fig. 2. Olive oil, sunflower oil, corn oil and sesame oil share similar peak type which is narrow and small, while palm oil and soybean oil show the curve which is much more complanate. As the heating rate increased, all DTG peaks from 6 oil samples shifted towards higher temperatures, which is in agreement with previous studies^[12, 14, 21]. As also shown in Tab.1, both T_{on} and T_{p} increased as the heating rate rose. For instance, the T_{on} and T_{p} of sunflower oil at 5℃/min were 163.22℃ and 308.15℃, respectively, while they became 178.18℃ and 348.80℃ at 15.0℃/min. When being heated at lower rate, the sample in aluminum pan could interact with the purge gas consistently, but when warmed at higher rate, the thermal oxidation inside the pan became violent and the vapor produced could not escape out timely, resulting in irregular change of sample mass^[22].

2. 2 Confirmation of temperature parameters and kinetic deduction

According to the method described in section 1.2.2,

the $T_{\rm on}$ and $T_{\rm p}$ of 6 vegetable oil samples under different heating rates were located based on their TG and DTG curves (Tab. 1). As early report, $T_{\rm on}$ was proposed to be closely correlated with the formation of peroxides during oxidation while $T_{\rm p}$ is related with the termination stage^[23]. As Tab. 1 shows, both $T_{\rm on}$ and $T_{\rm p}$ rose up as the heating rate ascended, indicating the increasement of oil oxidative temperatures. What can also be perceived from Tab. 1 is that $T_{\rm p}$ varied more notably

than T_{on} , for olive oil, when β increased from 5.0°C/min to 15.0°C/min, the T_{on} increased by 17.8°C while T_p varied by 31.42°C. Similar trends were also found by MONTEAGUDOS et al.^[11] when they studied the non-isothermal kinetics of anhydrous milk fat rich in conjugated linoleic acid. This phenomenon may imply that the reactions happened around T_p could be more vulnerable to the change in surroundings.



Fig. 2 DTG curves of vegetable oil samples at different heating rates

Tab. 1 Onset oxidation temperature and peak oxidation temperature of oil samples under different heating rates ~ $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$

	Heating rate/($^{\circ}C \cdot min^{-1}$)	Palm oil	Olive oil	Sunflower oil	Corn oil	Soybean oil	Sesame oil
	5.0	199. 91 $\pm 0.89^{a}$	$179.43 \pm 1.40^{\circ}$	163.22 ± 0.27^{f}	168. 51 $\pm 0.53^{\circ}$	172. 72 $\pm 0.83^{d}$	182. 80 $\pm 0.33^{\rm b}$
$T_{\rm on}$	7.5	204. 25 $\pm 0.75^{a}$	182.09 $\pm 0.64^{\circ}$	171. 36 \pm 1. 41 ^e	174.07 $\pm 0.17^{d}$	183. 27 $\pm 0.42^{\circ}$	187.62 $\pm 0.27^{\rm b}$
	10.0	209. 26 $\pm 0.42^{a}$	189.46 $\pm 0.15^{\circ}$	175. 37 $\pm 0.48^{\rm f}$	179.62 $\pm 0.50^{\circ}$	188. 22 $\pm 0.92^{d}$	191.64 $\pm 1.15^{\rm b}$
	12.5	212. 50 \pm 0. 22 ^a	193. 40 $\pm 0.46^{\circ}$	181.63 $\pm 0.60^{\circ}$	184. 51 $\pm 1.22^{d}$	195. 25 $\pm 0.21^{\rm b}$	—
	15.0	214. 32 $\pm 1.02^{a}$	197. 25 $\pm 1.27^{\circ}$	178. 18 \pm 0. 26 ^e	187. 80 \pm 0. 25 ^d	197. 89 $\pm 1.03^{\circ}$	200. 19 \pm 0. 58 ^b
	5.0	332. 01 \pm 0. 85 ^a	266.06 $\pm 0.37^{e}$	308. 15 \pm 0. 14 ^d	310.07 $\pm 0.64^{d}$	329.07 $\pm 0.21^{\rm b}$	313. 18 $\pm 0.35^{\circ}$
	7.5	344.92 ± 0.57^{a}	286.46 $\pm 0.70^{d}$	323. 26 $\pm 0.35^{b}$	318.47 $\pm 0.85^{\circ}$	_	322. 94 $\pm 0.69^{\rm b}$
$T_{\rm p}$	10.0	353. 23 $\pm 0.38^{a}$	292. 94 $\pm 0.46^{d}$	327. 72 $\pm 0.71^{d}$	337. 96 $\pm 0.35^{\circ}$	356.90 $\pm 0.55^{\rm b}$	336. 54 $\pm 0.15^{\circ}$
	12.5	362. 48 $\pm 1.02^{a}$	295. 53 $\pm 0.12^{\circ}$	344. 14 $\pm 0.58^{\circ}$	338. 84 $\pm 0.13^{d}$	358.82 $\pm 0.48^{\rm b}$	340. 48 $\pm 0.77^{\circ}$
	15.0	_	297.48 $\pm 0.98^{\circ}$	348.80 $\pm 0.89^{\rm b}$	351.94 ± 0.49^{a}	—	347.08 $\pm 0.30^{\rm b}$

Notes: The values followed by the same superscript letters in the same row are not significantly different (p > 0.05), vice versa. "—" means that the temperature is undesirable due to the irregular migration of thermal gram.

Through applying the above T_{on} and T_p values into Ozawa – Flynn – Wall iso-conventional method, the linear relationship between $\lg \beta$ and $\frac{1}{T}$ could be potted. Take corn oil as an example (Fig. 3), its linear equation could be expressed as following: y = -4.909x +11.83 with the determination coefficient of 0.993 8. Except for individual cases, the coefficients of the other oil samples were all above 0.94 (Tab. 2), stating the desirable fitness of OFW method. Thus the kinetic parameters were calculated using Eq. (1) ~ (3) and shown in Tab. 2. The activity energies of the 6 oil samples at $T_{\rm on}$ were: palm oil, 132. 50 kJ/mol; olive oil, 95. 16 kJ/mol; sunflower oil, 90. 69 kJ/mol;

corn oil, 89.32 kJ/mol; soybean oil, 71.29 kJ/mol; sesame oil, 106.44 kJ/mol. Their corresponding E_a at $T_{\rm p}$ were: palm oil, 92.73 kJ/mol; olive oil, 77.77 kJ/mol; sunflower oil, 74.37 kJ/mol; corn oil, 71.98 kJ/mol; soybean oil, 84.79 kJ/mol; sesame oil, 89.89 kJ/mol. Considering the complexity of oil various that systems and reactions happened simultaneously, the E_a values obtained here should be recognized as the apparent activation energy presenting by the numerous reactions happening around the temperature^[22, 24]. The values of E_a stand for the ease of reaction and can be used as one index to evaluate oil oxidative stability. When using T_{on} as the temperature, the oil stability order would be: soybean oil, corn oil, sunflower oil, olive oil, sesame oil and palm oil.

When using $T_{\rm p}$ that would be: corn oil, sunflower oil, olive oil, soybean oil, sesame oil and palm oil. This is slightly different from the orders using $T_{\rm on}$, which indicated that one single parameter could not characterize comprehensively and multiple indexes from different reaction stages would be desired^[26].



Fig. 3 Linear relationship between onset oxidation temperature and heating rate of corn oil

	Parameters	Palm oil	Olive oil	Sunflower oil	Corn oil	Soybean oil	Sesame oil
$T_{\rm on}$	$\lg \beta vs 1/T_{on}$	y = -7282x + 16.10	$y = -5\ 230x + 12.\ 30$	y = -4.984x + 12.11	y = -4.909x + 11.83	y = -3918x + 9.478	y = -5850x + 13.55
	$E_a/(\text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1})$	132. 50	95.16	90. 69	89.32	71. 29	106.44
	A/\min^{-1}	1.63×10^{14}	3. 60 $\times 10^{10}$	2. 44 $\times 10^{10}$	1.30×10^{10}	7. 24×10^{7}	5. 72×10^{11}
	R^2	0. 992 8	0.9573	0.8940	0.9938	0.9920	0.9812
	$\lg \beta vs \ 1/T_{on}$	y = -5.096x + 9.123	y = -4274x + 8.594	y = -4.087x + 7.745	y = -3.956x + 7.517	y = -4.660x + 8.434	y = -4.940x + 9.135
T	$E_a/(kJ \cdot mol^{-1})$	92.73	77.77	74. 37	71.98	84. 79	89.89
I p	A/\min^{-1}	2. 46×10^7	1.13×10^{6}	1.28×10^{6}	7. 84×10^5	5. 50×10^{6}	42. 61×10^7
	<i>R</i> ²	0. 997 6	0. 893 4	0.9680	0. 948 0	0.9676	0. 985 2

Tab. 2	Kinetic	parameters	of	vegetable	oil	samples
			~ -		~	

2.3 Correlation between thermal oxidative properties and TAG composition

The TAG analysis of oil samples are summarized in Tab. 3. According to the unsaturated degrees of fatty acid chains on the glycerol skeleton, the TAGs could be divided into trisaturated triacylglycerol (TSTAG), monosaturated triacylglycerol (MSTAG), desaturated (DSTAG) triacylglycerol and triunsaturated triacylglycerol (TUTAG). As shown in Tab.3, vegetable oils from different plan origins exhibited various TAG distributions and typical TAG moieties. The palm oil is rich in palmitic acid (C16:0) and oleic acid (C18:1)^[27] and its typical TAG is POO (31.77%) and PPO (28.41%). Its TSTAG (5.24%, undetectable in other 5 samples), MSTAG (50.35%) and DSTAG (42.52%) levels are also higher than the other oil samples while its TUTAG (1.89%) content is the lowest among oils^[20]. Olive oil is laden with oleic acid and ROO type TAGs, such as 000 (38.37%), 00L (18.18%) and POO (15.27%). Sunflower oil and corn oil shares similar TAG profile, which has large amount of LLL + OLLn (39.91%, 28.44%) and OLL + OLPo (23.57%, 25.22%). Sunflower oil also exhibits highest level of TUTAG (72.52%). Soybean oil presents approximately 5% of linoleic acid and thus has significantly larger content of LLLn $(9.12\%)^{[18]}$. Sesame oil shows uniform TAG profile and higher levels of MSTAG (33.31%) and DATAG (7.39%).

Through applying Pearson correlation analysis between thermal oxidative parameters (T_{on} , T_p and E_a) and TAG distributions, the correlation coefficients were obtained, values of which presents the impact of different TAGs on oil oxidative stabilities^[9]. To ensure the reliability of analysis, only contents larger than 1% were considered.

As the results shown in Tab. 4, various degrees of correlation are observed between different TAGs and $T_{\rm on}$, $T_{\rm p}$ and E_a . The E_a values at $T_{\rm on}$ shows positive correlation with higher saturated TAGs, such as MPL (0.83), PPL (0.89) and PPP (0.83) but negatively correlate with higher unsaturated ones, such as OLL +

	Tuble Thuesher compositions of vegetable on samples								
TAG	Palm oil	Olive oil	Sunflower oil	Corn oil	Soybean oil	Sesame oil			
LLnLn	—	—	—	—	1.86 ± 0.03^{a}	—			
LLLn	—	—	—	$1.09 \pm 0.05^{\rm b}$	9. 12 $\pm 0.06^{a}$	$0.21 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$			
MPP	0.48 ± 0.03^{a}	—	—	—	—	—			
LLL + OLLn	—	$1.68 \pm 0.06^{\circ}$	39.91 $\pm 0.07^{a}$	28.44 \pm 0.14 ^b	23. 53 $\pm 0.09^{\circ}$	11. 15 $\pm 0.05^{d}$			
OLL + OLPo	—	6.92 ± 0.09^{d}	23. 57 $\pm 0.14^{a}$	25.22 ± 0.04^{a}	19.67 $\pm 0.16^{\rm b}$	17. 34 \pm 0. 14°			
LLP	—	1.74 ± 0.11^{e}	10. 44 $\pm 0.09^{\circ}$	14. 12 $\pm 0.05^{\rm b}$	16. 51 $\pm 0.07^{a}$	8.43 $\pm 0.10^{d}$			
MPL	2. 31 $\pm 0.06^{a}$	—	—	—	—	—			
OOL	$0.91 \pm 0.02^{\mathrm{e}}$	18. 18 \pm 0. 14 ^a	5.61 \pm 0.11 ^d	8.38 \pm 0.15°	6. 79 $\pm 0.05^{d}$	15.67 $\pm 0.05^{\rm b}$			
PLO + SLL	9.05 $\pm 0.05^{\rm b}$	6. 51 \pm 0. 07 ^d	8.80 $\pm 0.06^{\circ}$	9.08 $\pm 0.03^{\rm b}$	10.96 ± 0.09^{a}	8.40 $\pm 0.05^{\circ}$			
PPL	8.60 $\pm 0.01^{a}$	$1.90 \pm 0.09^{\circ}$	0.98 ± 0.04^{e}	1.21 ± 0.07^{d}	$1.79 \pm 0.03^{\circ}$	5.52 ± 0.02^{b}			
000	0.98 ± 0.03^{f}	38. 37 $\pm 0.10^{a}$	3.43 ± 0.07^{d}	4. 78 $\pm 0.06^{\circ}$	2. 37 $\pm 0.06^{\mathrm{e}}$	14.93 $\pm 0.07^{\rm b}$			
SLO	5. 51 $\pm 0.09^{a}$	$2.63 \pm 0.03^{\circ}$	$3.52 \pm 0.06^{\rm b}$	2. 59 $\pm 0.04^{\circ}$	2. 26 \pm 0. 12 ^d	3. 18 \pm 0. 15 ^b			
POO	31.77 $\pm 0.12^{a}$	15. 27 $\pm 0.06^{b}$	1.50 ± 0.03^{e}	2.42 ± 0.08^{d}	2. 38 \pm 0. 01 ^d	11. 12 $\pm 0.09^{\circ}$			
PPO	28.41 $\pm 0.06^{a}$	$1.41 \pm 0.05^{\circ}$	1.07 ± 0.08^{d}	$1.63 \pm 0.11^{\rm b}$	$0.95 \pm 0.03^{\mathrm{e}}$	0.47 ± 0.02^{f}			
SOO	4.06 $\pm 0.04^{a}$	$2.65 \pm 0.03^{\rm b}$	0.53 ± 0.10^{d}	0.42 ± 0.03^{d}	0.65 ± 0.05^{d}	$1.63 \pm 0.05^{\circ}$			
PSO	2. 26 $\pm 0.05^{a}$	1.78 $\pm0.08^{\mathrm{b}}$	—	—	$0.63 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$	1.44 ± 0.03^{b}			
MMM	0.51 ± 0.01^{a}	—	—	—	—	—			
PPP	4. 25 $\pm 0.02^{a}$	_	—	—	—				
AOO	—	0.35 ± 0.03^{b}	—	0.50 ± 0.06^{a}	—	0.51 ± 0.08^{a}			
SSO	0.90 ± 0.08^{a}	0.45 $\pm 0.05^{\rm b}$	—	—	—				
OOB	—	—	0.64 ± 0.06^{a}	—	0.48 ± 0.03^{b}				
TSTAG	5. 24 \pm 0. 11 ^a	—	—	—	—	—			
MSTAG	50. 35 $\pm 0.07^{a}$	29. 15 $\pm 0.06^{\circ}$	25.43 $\pm 0.05^{d}$	29. 13 $\pm 0.05^{\circ}$	33. 24 $\pm 0.04^{\rm b}$	33. 31 $\pm 0.04^{\rm b}$			
DSTAG	42. 52 $\pm 0.15^{a}$	5. 54 \pm 0. 11 °	2.05 ± 0.09^{d}	2. 84 \pm 0. 03 ^e	3.37 \pm 0.11 ^b	$7.39 \pm 0.08^{\rm b}$			
TUTAG	1.89 ± 0.04^{f}	65. 15 \pm 0. 07 °	72. 52 $\pm 0.07^{a}$	67.91 $\pm 0.06^{\rm b}$	63. 34 $\pm 0.06^{d}$	59. 30 \pm 0. 08 $^{\rm e}$			

 Tab. 3
 Triacylglycerol compositions of vegetable oil samples

Notes: M, myristic acid(C14:0); P, palmitic acid(C16:0); Po, palmitoleic acid(C16:1); S, stearic acid(C18:0); O, oleic acid(C18:1); L, linoleic acid(C18:3); A, arachidic acid(C20:0); B, behenic acid(C22:0).

OLPo (-0.73). Its correlation with MSTAGs and DSTAGs is due to the moieties of unsaturated fatty acid chains, where positive correlate with the ones rich in oleic acid such as POO (0.90) but negative correlate with the ones rich in linoleic acids, such as LLP (-0.81). Previous studies have reported that the saturated --CH2- chains can inhibit radical attack towards fatty acid chains and thus enhance the TAG stabilities^[28]. Similar trends are also observed between the T_{on} values and TAG distributions even with highly significant correlations (MSTAG, 0.92). Therefore, the oil oxidative stabilities could be anticipated according to its chemical composition and T_{on} value. The $T_{\rm p}$ values present positive correlation with TSTAG as well as related TAGs but negative correlation with TUTAG and related moieties, such as OOO(-0.92). Howbeit, no notable correlation is observed between $T_{\rm p}$, MSTAG, DSTAG and other component. The E_a values at T_{p} also show weakened correlation with TAG components, of which the reason could be that the TAG oxidative reactions around T_{p} already entered the

Tab. 4Correlation analysis between thermal oxidationproperties and triacylglycerol compositions of oil samples

TAG	$T_{\rm on}$	$E_a(T_{\rm on})$	$T_{\rm p}$	$E_a(T_{\rm p})$
LLnLn	-0.19	-0.63	0.40	0.16
LLLn	-0.23	-0.66	0.41	0.11
LLL + OLLn	-0.88 *	-0.63	0.19	-0.64
OLL + OLPo	-0.89 *	-0.73	0.09	-0.62
LLP	-0.75	-0.81 *	0.33	-0.40
MPL	0. 84 *	0. 83 *	0.46	0.62
OOL	-0.15	-0.24	0.78	-0.17
PLO + SLL	-0.15	-0.33	0. 86 *	0.21
PPL	0. 94 * *	0. 89 *	0.44	0. 88 *
000	0.06	-0.03	-0.92 * *	-0.16
SLO	0.73	0. 90 *	0.41	0.56
POO	0. 96 * *	0. 90 *	0.09	0.68
PPO	0. 83 *	0. 83 *	0.45	0.60
SOO	0. 93 * *	0. 84 *	-0.05	0.64
PSO	0. 92 * *	0.72	-0.09	0.75
PPP	0. 84 *	0. 83 *	0.46	0.62
TSTAG	0. 84 *	0. 83 *	0.46	0.62
MSTAG	0. 92 * *	0.77	0.55	0.81
DSTAG	0. 90 *	0. 87 *	0.43	0.69
TUTAG	-0.91 *	-0.84 *	-0.47	-0.73

2016

termination stage and series of secondary products have formed, which contributed to the sample mass. Furthermore, the oils evolved in this study contain small amount of natural antioxidants, such as tocopherol and polyphenol. There was no significant correlation between oil thermal kinetics and total phenol content since these compounds might had degraded before 200°C^[9,29]. Thus they were not considered in this paper.

3 Conclusions

The thermal oxidation properties of normal vegetable oils were studied using TG and DTG method. Both T_{on} and $T_{\rm p}$ shifted towards higher temperatures when heating rate increased and the later varied more notably. Through applying Ozawa - Flynn - Wall isoconventional method, the kinetic modeling and calculation were conducted. The results showed satisfied fitness and the kinetic parameters (E_a, A) obtained can be used to present oil thermal stabilities. Meanwhile, by evaluating the correlations between oil thermal oxidative properties and TAG distributions, both T_{on} and $E_a(T_{on})$ showed positive correlation with higher saturated TAGs while negative correlation with higher unsaturated ones and the *p* values were determined by the fatty acid chains varieties. The $T_{\rm p}$ and $E_a(T_p)$ were also positive correlated with TSTAG but negatively correlated with TUTAG. Their MSTAG, DSTAG with correlations and other components were slightly weakened. This preliminary exploration of the "thermal oxidative property-chemical composition" illustrated the impact of multiple components on oil oxidation progress, which could be used for predicting oil stability, controlling oil processing, refining and storage condition.

References

- CHOE E, MIN D B. Mechanisms and factors for edible oil oxidation [J]. Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety, 2006, 5(4):169 – 186.
- [2] AIDOS I, LOURENCO S, PADT A V D, et al. Stability of crude herring oil produced from fresh byproducts: influence of temperature during storage [J]. Journal of Food Science, 2002, 67(9):3314 - 3320.
- ZHANG Z S, ZHANG L X, LI D, et al. Study on the oxidation kinetics of flaxseed oil by thermogravimetry[J].
 Science and Technology of Food Industry, 2013, 34(11):

62-64. (in Chinese)

- [4] BARRIUSO B, ASTIASARÁN I, ANSORENA D. A review of analytical methods measuring lipid oxidation status in foods: a challenging task [J]. European Food Research and Technology, 2013, 236(1):1-15.
- [5] CAO J, LI H Y, DENG Z Y. Research progress in oxidative stability of vegetable oil [J]. Science and Technology of Food Industry, 2013, 34(7):378 - 386. (in Chinese)
- [6] LIU Y, JIN Q Z, WANG X G. Application of DSC in oil processing and production [J]. China Oils and Fats, 2004,29(9):51-54. (in Chinese)
- [7] MAGGIO R M, CERRETANI L, BARNABA C, et al. Application of differential scanningcalorimetrychemometriccoupled procedure to the evaluation of thermooxidation on extravirgin olive oil [J]. Food Biophysics, 2012, 7(2):114-123.
- [8] WARAHO T, MCCLEMENTS D J, DECHER E A. Mechanisms of lipid oxidation in food dispersions [J]. Trends in Food Science & Technology, 2011, 22(1): 3-13.
- [9] VECCHIO S, CAMPANELLA L, NUCCILLIA, et al. Kinetic study of thermal breakdown of triglycerides contained in extra-virgin olive oil[J]. Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry, 2008, 91(1):51-56.
- [10] CHIAVARO E, VITTADINI E, TERODRIGUEZ-ESTRADA M, et al. Differential scanning calorimeter application to the detection frefined hazelnut oil in extra virgin olive oil [J]. Food Chemistry, 2008, 110(1): 248-256.
- [11] MONTEAGUDOS I M, SALDANM D A, KENNELLYJ J. Kinetics of non-isothermal oxidation of anhydrous milk fat rich in conjugated linoleic acid using differential scanning calorimetry [J]. Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry, 2012, 107(3):973-981.
- [12] CHIAVARO E, RODRIGUEZ-ESTRADAM T, BENDINI A, et al. Differential scanning calorimetry thermalproperties and oxidative stability indicesof microwave heated extra virgin olive oils[J]. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 2011, 91(2):198-206.
- [13] ADHVARYU A, ERHANA S Z, LIU Z S, et al. Oxidation kinetic studies of oils derived from unmodified and genetically modified vegetables using pressurized differential scanning calorimetry and nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy [J]. Thermochimica Acta, 2000, 364(1-2):87-97.
- [14] TOMASSETTI M, FAVERO G, CAMPANELLA L. Kinetic thermal analytical study of saturated mono-, diand tri-glycerides [J]. Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry, 2013, 112(1):519-527.
- [15] BOUZIDI L, BOODHOO M, HUMPHREYK L, et al.

Use of first and second derivatives to accurately determinekey parameters of DSC thermographsin lipid crystallization studies [J]. Thermochimica Acta, 2005, 439(1-2):94-102.

- [16] FLYNN J H, WALLL A. A quick direct method for determination of activation energy from thermogravimetric data [J]. Journal of Polymer Science Part B-Polymer Letters, 1966, 4(5):323-328.
- [17] TAKEO O. A new method of analyzing thermogravimetric data [J]. Bulletin of the Chemical Society of Japan, 1965, 38(11): 1881-1886.
- [18] ZHANG D, LONG L L, XUE Y L, et al. Analysis of soybean oil triglycerides characteristics by HPLC/Q—ToF[J]. China Oils and Fats, 2012, 37(12):81 84. (in Chinese)
- [19] AN G J, HOU B B, WANG Y Y, et al. Determination of triglycerol emposition in oil by ultra performance liquid chromatography[J]. China Oils and Fats, 2011, 36 (5):55-58. (in Chinese)
- [20] ROMBAUT R, CLERCQ N D, FOUBERT, et al. Triacylglycerol analysis of fats and oils by evaporative lightscattering detection [J]. Journal of American Oil Chemists Society, 2009, 86(1):19-25.
- [21] TANA C P, CHE MAN Y B, SELAMATB J, et al. Application of arrhenius kinetics to evaluate oxidative stability in vegetable oils by isothermal differential scanning calorimetry [J]. Journal of America Oil Chemists Society, 2001,78(11):1133 - 1138.
- [22] SALDAÑA M D A, MARTÍNEZ-MONTEAGUDO S I. Applications of calorimetry in a wide context-differential scanning calorimetry, isothermal titration calorimetry and microcalorimetry [M]. Taichung: INTECH, 2013: 445 – 474.

- [23] KOWALSKI B, RATUSZ K, KOWALSKA D, et al. Determination of theoxidative stability of vegetable oils by differential scanning calorimetry andrancimat measurements [J]. European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology, 2004, 106(3):165 – 169.
- [24] FEREIDOON S, YING Z. Lipid oxidation and improving the oxidative stability [J]. Chemistry Society Review, 2010, 39(11):4067-4079.
- [25] CIBULKOVÁ Z, ČERTÍK M, DUBAJ T. Thermooxidative stability of poppy seeds studied by nonisothermal DSC measurements [J]. Food Chemistry, 2014, 150(5):296 - 300.
- [26] GIUFFRIDA F, DESTAILLATS F, EGART M H, et al. Activity and thermal stability of antioxidants by differential scanning calorimetry and electron spin resonance spectroscopy[J]. Food Chemistry, 2007, 101 (3):1108-1114.
- [27] CHIAVARO E, VITTADINI E, RODRIGUEZ-ESTRADA M T, et al. Monovarietal extra virgin olive oils: correlation between thermal properties and chemical composition [J]. Journal of Agricultral and Food Chemistry, 2007, 55(26):10779-10786.
- [28] MARCIN U, MALGORZATA M, GRZEGORZ L. Use of differential scanning calorimetry to study lipid oxidation.
 1. oxidative stability of lecithin and linolenic acid[J].
 Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 2005, 53 (23):9073 - 9077.
- [29] ALEGRÍA C P, LORENZO C, ALESSANDRA B, et al. Evaluation of the influence of thermal oxidation in the phenolic composition and in antioxidant activity of extravirgin olive oils [J]. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 2007, 55(12):4771-4780.

doi:10.6041/j.issn.1000-1298.2016.08.031

基于热重法的植物油氧化动力学--甘油三酯相关性研究

张巧智¹ 丁 俭¹ Tong Wang² 江连洲¹ 隋晓楠¹ 李 杨¹ (1. 东北农业大学食品学院,哈尔滨 150030; 2. 爱荷华州立大学食品科学与人类营养学院,埃姆斯 IA 50011)

摘要:通过热重(TG)及微商热重(DTG)法研究了6种常见植物油的热氧化特性,分析了不同温控程序对质量变化 曲线的影响,通过微商热重曲线确定了不同升温速率下的氧化起始温度(T_o)和氧化峰值温度(T_p),结合 Ozawa – Flynn – Wall 等转化率法对油脂的氧化动力学特性进行推导,并对油脂热氧化特性与其甘油三酯组成与分布的相关 性进行了分析。研究结果表明:随着升温速率的增加,T_{on}和 T_p均随之升高且 T_p变化更为敏感;植物油的热力学特 性与其甘三酯组成间具有不同程度的相关性,其中,T_{on}及 T_{on}处活化能与高饱和度甘三酯呈正相关而与高不饱和度 甘三酯呈负相关,T_p及 T_p处活化能与各组分间具有相似的关系但相关性略有减弱,具体相关性与甘油骨架上脂肪 酸链的种类有关。热重分析法所需样品微量、操作快捷、灵敏度高,在油脂加工及质量检测领域具有广阔的应用前景。 关键词:植物油;氧化动力学;热重分析;微商热重分析;相关性

中图分类号: TS221 文献标识码: A 文章编号: 1000-1298(2016)08-0241-07

Correlation between Vegetable Oil Oxidation Kinetics and Triacylglycerol Compositions Based on Thermogravimetry

Zhang Qiaozhi¹ Ding Jian¹ Tong Wang² Jiang Lianzhou¹ Sui Xiaonan¹ Li Yang¹ (1. College of Food Science, Northeast Agricultural University, Harbin 150030, China
2. Department of Food Science and Human Nutrition, Iowa State University, Ames IA 50011, USA)

Abstract: Thermogravimetry (TG) and derivative thermogravimetry (DTG) were used to investigate the thermal oxidative properties of six normal vegetable oils. The influence of different heating programs on the weight changing curves was studied and the onset oxidation temperature (T_{on}) and peak oxidation temperature (T_p) were located from the DTG curves. Through the application of Ozawa – Flynn – Wall iso-conventional method, the oxidation kinetic properties of oils were deduced and the correlation between thermal behaviors and triacylglycerol composition was analyzed. The results showed that both T_{on} and T_p were increased with the raise of heating rate and T_p was varied more sensitively, which indicated that the reactions occurred around T_p could be more acuminous to the change in surroundings. Varying degrees of correlation were found between thermal behaviors and triacylglycerols but negatively correlated with high unsaturated ones. Similar results were found between T_p , E_a (T_p) and various components but with weaker correlations. Specific correlation was depended on the type of fatty acid chains on glycerol skeletons. Thus, predictions of oil thermal stability could be done according to thetriacylglycerol profiles. Thermogravimetry analysis was praised for trace sample size, fast operation and high sensitivity, which would show promising prospect in oil processing and quality control.

Key words: vegetable oil; oxidation kinetics; thermogravimetry; derivative thermogravimetry; correlation

收稿日期: 2016-01-02 修回日期: 2016-03-10

基金项目:"十二五"国家科技支撑计划项目(2014BAD22B00)

作者简介:张巧智(1990一),女,博士生,主要从事粮食、油脂及植物蛋白研究,E-mail: miumiulovelife@163.com

通信作者: 李杨(1981—), 男, 副教授, 博士, 主要从事粮食、油脂及植物蛋白研究, E-mail: liyanghuangyu@163. com

引言

植物油既是人群膳食结构中的重要组成部分, 也是食品加工业重要的原料之一,然而油脂在储存、 运输和加工过程中在光、热、催化金属等条件下易发 生氧化劣变,其中以自由基链式反应为主,生成的初 级氧化产物(氢过氧化物)经过一系列复杂反应生 成醛、酮、酸等低阈值异味分子以及环氧化物等有害 产物^[1-2],降低了油脂及其制品的感官可接受度及 营养价值。人体食用氧化的油脂会造成机体酶系统 损伤,加速体内脂质过氧化,引起一系列生理异 常^[3]。因此,对油脂氧化进程的监测及控制一直是 油脂理论研究的焦点。近些年来,研究人员提出了 一系列检测油脂氧化程度的方法,如碘量法、硫氰酸 铁法、硫代巴比妥酸值测定法(TBA法)、活性氧化 法(AOM 法)、Schall 干燥箱法、Rancimat 法等^[4-5]。 热重仪作为重要的热分析仪器之一,是在程序控温 下通过高灵敏度的电子天平记录试样的质量随时间 或温度的变化,进而反映样品反应进程的热分析技 术,相比传统的测定方法,具有所需样品微量、操作 简单快速、无需使用有机溶剂、准确性和灵敏度高等 优点。利用该方法可检测并比较油脂的氧化稳定 性、测定油脂氧化诱导时间、氧化反应焓变、氧化分 解起始温度等^[6-7],在油脂加工及质量监控、新型抗 氧化剂研发等领域已逐渐得到应用。

油脂的氧化机制复杂,受诸多外部和内部因素 影响。其中,甘油三酯(TAG)既是油脂中脂肪酸的 存在形式,也是构成油脂的主要组分(质量分数 95%以上),同时也是参与酸败反应的主要物质,因 此,油脂的氧化进程与其甘三酯的组成及分布密切 相关^[8]。油脂在氧化过程中会发生一系列复杂的 氧化、裂变、聚合等反应,质量上发生变化,热重仪通 过对这些变化的精确记录,可监测完整的油脂氧化 进程,结合动力学模型的建立、反应动力学参数的推 导及与组分的相关性研究可进一步阐明油脂的氧化 特性^[9-14],如 VECCHIO 等^[9]通过植物油热重(TG) 及微商热重(DTG)在非等温条件下对初榨橄榄油 及4种饱和、不饱和甘三酯的热降解动力学进行对 比,TOMASSETTI 等^[14]则利用该2种方法研究了 5种饱和单甘酯、双甘酯和三甘酯的热降解过程。 但目前已有的相关研究围绕对象较少,温度参数或 动力学参数无法完整表征油脂氧化进程,且对化学 组成的考虑尚不全面。因此,本文通过测定不同温 控程序下植物油的热重(TG)及微商热重(DTG)曲 线的变化,分析各品种植物油的氧化特性,并通过不 同温度参数的获取、动力学参数的求解及与样品甘 三酯组成的皮尔森相关性研究,进一步探讨油脂的 氧化特性与其组成结构的关系。

1 材料与方法

1.1 材料与仪器

选取脂肪酸组成及不饱和程度各异的6种植物 油样品,分别为:棕榈油,益江(张家港)粮油工业有 限公司;橄榄油,上海东森企业发展有限公司;葵花 籽油,上海嘉里食品工业有限公司;玉米油,山东西 王食品有限公司;大豆油,张家港中粮东海粮油工业 有限公司;芝麻油,天津嘉里粮油有限公司。油样购 于当地超市,生产日期相近,加工精炼等级一致,商 品标签上均注明不含有人工添加的抗氧化剂。分析 前将油样置于棕色瓶内放在低温(4℃)、避光、无顶 空条件下保存。正己烷、二氯甲烷、丙酮、乙腈均为 色谱纯。

STA449 F3 型同步热分析仪(配有铂金炉、底置 式电子天平、质量流量计、NETZSCH – Propeus – 6.1 数据分析软件),德国耐驰公司;Eksigent HPLC – TripleTOF 4600 型高效液相色谱-串联四级杆飞行 时间质谱联用仪(配有二元溶剂管理器、自动进样 器、柱温箱、APCI 电离源),美国 AB SCIEX 公司; ZORBAX SB 型 C18 反相高效液相色谱柱(4.6 mm × 250 mm,5 μ m),美国 Agilent 公司。

1.2 实验方法

1.2.1 热重测定方法

以 O_2 (99.99%, 80 mL/min) 为吹扫气体, N_2 (99.99%, 20 mL/min) 为天平保护气体。为确保样 品与 O_2 的充分接触及热传导的均匀性,避免凹盘内 出现温度梯度,将(3.0±0.5) mg 油样置于密封(中 央有孔) 铝盘中^[13], 于 30℃ 恒温 5 min 后以一定速 率加热至 400℃。考虑到继而动力模型的建立以及 STA 分析仪加热速率的合适范围,取 5 个不同升温 速率:5.0、7.5、10.0、12.5、15.0℃/min,得到每个升 温速率下油脂样品的 TG 及 DTG 图谱。

1.2.2 温度参数的确定及动力学参数的推导

由于样品质量较小,在氧化进程中热重曲线变化细微,对质量分数取一阶导数得到相应的微商热重曲线,表征样品质量变化速率与温度的关系^[9,14],以微商热重曲线偏离基线时的温度为氧化起始温度(*T*_{on}),以曲线起峰后第1次降至最低点时的温度为氧化峰值温度(*T*_p)^[9,11,15],如图1所示。

在非等温条件下,吹扫气体 O_2 始终处于过量状态,因此可忽略热氧化进程中 O_2 的消耗,即油脂氧化过程与 O_2 的量无关,氧化属于一阶动力学^[9,11,13]。符合 Ozawa – Flynn – Wall 等转化率方程^[16-17],将每



and peak oxidation temperature of TG curve

一样品在 5 个不同升温速率下的 T_{on} 及 T_{p} 代入方程 $\lg \beta = a \frac{1}{T} + b$ (1)

式中 β — 升温速率, ℃/min T — T_{on}或 T_p, K

以 lg β 对 $\frac{1}{T}$ 拟合所得直线的斜率 *a* 和截距 *b* 与 活化能 *E_a*(J/mol) 和前指数因子 *A*(min⁻¹)的关系 为

$$a = -0.4567 \frac{E_a}{R}$$
(2)

$$b = -2.315 + \lg\left(A\frac{E_a}{R}\right) \tag{3}$$

式中 *R*——摩尔气体常数,取8.314 J/(mol·K) 1.2.3 甘油三酯组成测定方法

利用高效液相色谱-串联四极杆飞行时间质谱 联用(HPLC-MS),以APCI为电离源,C18 柱为洗 脱柱,正己烷、二氯甲烷(体积比1:1)为流动相A, 丙酮、乙腈(体积比5:95)为流动相B,分离样品中 的甘三酯分子。研究发现,在甘三酯分子中从sn-2 位断裂1个脂肪酸所需的能量要比从sn-1或 sn-3 位上断裂1个脂肪酸所需的能量大^[18-20],因 此,可根据APCI质谱图中各种碎片离子峰的强度 及质量数差值,判断甘三酯分子的种类及其甘油骨 架上脂肪酸的位置,进一步通过面积归一化法定量 确定每种油样中甘三酯的组成及分布。洗脱程序 为:0~20 min,20%A;20~35 min,升至80%A;35~ 45 min,降至20%A。柱温设置为40℃,流速设置为 0.6 mL/min。

1.2.4 数据分析

实验均测定 3 次,采用 SPSS 22.0 统计分析软件对数据的平均值、标准差、差异显著性及热降解特性与甘三酯组成的皮尔森相关性进行分析。其中,差异显著性分析采用 Turkey 多重检验,95%置信水平(p < 0.05),动力学直线采用 SigmaPlot 12.5 拟合。

2 结果与结论

2.1 DSC 对油脂样品热氧化进程的测定

图 1 是橄榄油在 5 ℃/min 速率下的热重及微商 热重曲线,通过微商热重曲线可以清晰地监测油脂 样品在热降解过程中的质量变化。已有研究说明, 在 100~600℃范围内,油脂热氧化主要经历 3 个阶 段反应:160~280℃主要是饱和和不饱和脂肪酸链 的降解(部分油样该阶段反应会持续至 350℃,如 图 2),280~400℃对应挥发性物质的氧化,400~ 600℃则是碳质残渣的反应^[9,14]。其中第 1 阶段主 要涉及甘油骨架上脂肪酸链的自由基链式反应,因 此在该温度范围内选择 T_{on} 、 T_p 2 个温度参数表征油 脂热降解的不同阶段。

在非等温温控程序下,分别对6种油脂样品在 5 个升温速率(5.0、7.5、10.0、12.5、15.0℃/min)下 进行测定,所得微商热重曲线如图2所示。由图2 可知.6种油脂样品中橄榄油、葵花籽油、玉米油和 芝麻油微商热重曲线峰型均窄小,而棕榈油和大豆 油 DTG 曲线则呈现较平坦的趋势,且随着升温速率 的增加,6种油样的微商热重峰均向更高温度迁移, 这与已有研究趋势相同^[12,14,21]。由表1也可知,Tm 和 T。值均随升温速率升高而升高,如在 5.0℃/min 时, 葵花籽油的 T_{on}和 T_p分别为 163.22℃和 308.15℃, 而在15.0℃/min 时, 其 T 和 T 分别升至 178.18℃和348.80℃(表1)。但在个别高加热速率 (大于 10.0℃/min)下 DTG 峰会向相反方向偏移 (图 2c),出现这种现象的原因是,当升温速率较低 时,坩埚内油样可与吹扫气体(氧气)充分反应,而 当升温速率较高时,样品内热氧化反应剧烈,产生的 挥发性物质未能及时排出坩埚,造成样品质量变化 的不规律^[22]。

2.2 温度参数的确定及热降解的动力学推导

根据 1.2.2 节中所述的方法,从 6 种植物油样 品的热重及微商热重曲线中确定其在不同温控程序 下的氧化起始温度(T_{on})和氧化峰值温度(T_{p}),见 表 1。根据已有研究证明, T_{on} 与氧化进程中过氧化 物的生成密切相关, T_{p} 则与氧化终止阶段有关^[23]。 由表 1 可知,随着升温速率的增加,油脂样品 T_{on} 和 $T_{p}均随之升高,说明油脂发生热氧化反应的温度升$ $高,且 <math>T_{p}$ 增加幅度较 T_{on} 大,如当升温速率由 5.0℃/min 升至 15.0℃/min 时,橄榄油 T_{on} 增幅为 17.8℃,而 T_{p} 增幅则为 31.42℃。MONTEAGUDOS 等^[11]在对富含 共轭亚油酸的无水乳脂肪进行非等温热力学研究中也 发现, T_{p} 变化较 T_{on} 更为敏感,这一现象说明氧化峰值 温度附近发生的反应对外界条件的变化更为敏锐。



图 2 植物油样品在不同升温速率下的微商热重曲线

Fig. 2 DTG curves of vegetable oil samples at different heating rates

	表	1	不同升温遗	基率下	植物	油样	品的	的氧亻	化起始温度	度和	氧	化峰	值温	度	-
-					-					~					

Tab. 1 Onset oxidation temperature and peak oxidation temperature of oil samples at different heating rates ~ $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$

	升温速率/(℃·min ⁻¹)	棕榈油	橄榄油	葵花籽油	玉米油	大豆油	芝麻油
	5.0	199. 91 $\pm 0.89^{a}$	179.43 $\pm 1.40^{\circ}$	163. 22 $\pm 0.27^{\rm f}$	168. 51 \pm 0. 53 °	172. 72 $\pm 0.83^{d}$	182. 80 \pm 0. 33 ^b
	7.5	204. 25 $\pm 0.75^{a}$	182.09 $\pm 0.64^{\circ}$	171.36 \pm 1.41 °	174.07 $\pm 0.17^{\rm d}$	183. 27 $\pm 0.42^{\circ}$	187.62 $\pm 0.27^{\rm b}$
$T_{_{ m on}}$	10.0	209. 26 \pm 0. 42 ^a	189.46 $\pm 0.15^{\circ}$	175.37 $\pm 0.48^{\mathrm{f}}$	179.62 $\pm 0.50^{\circ}$	188. 22 $\pm 0.92^{d}$	191.64 $\pm 1.15^{\rm b}$
	12.5	212. 50 \pm 0. 22 ^a	193.40 $\pm 0.46^{\circ}$	$181.63 \pm 0.60^{\circ}$	184. 51 \pm 1. 22 ^d	195.25 $\pm 0.21^{\rm b}$	—
	15.0	214. 32 $\pm 1.02^{a}$	197. 25 $\pm 1.27^{\circ}$	178.18 $\pm 0.26^{e}$	187.80 \pm 0.25 $^{\rm d}$	197. 89 $\pm 1.03^{\circ}$	200. 19 $\pm 0.58^{\rm b}$
	5.0	332.01 \pm 0.85 ^a	266.06 $\pm 0.37^{\circ}$	308. 15 \pm 0. 14 ^d	310.07 $\pm 0.64^{d}$	329.07 \pm 0.21 ^b	313. 18 \pm 0. 35 °
	7.5	344.92 ± 0.57^{a}	286. 46 $\pm 0.70^{d}$	323.26 $\pm 0.35^{b}$	318.47 $\pm 0.85^{\circ}$	—	322.94 $\pm 0.69^{\rm b}$
$T_{\rm p}$	10.0	353.23 ± 0.38^{a}	292. 94 $\pm 0.46^{d}$	327.72 $\pm 0.71^{d}$	337. 96 \pm 0. 35 °	356.90 $\pm 0.55^{\rm b}$	336. 54 \pm 0. 15 °
	12.5	362.48 ± 1.02^{a}	295.53 $\pm 0.12^{\circ}$	344. 14 $\pm 0.58^{\circ}$	338.84 $\pm 0.13^{d}$	358.82 $\pm 0.48^{b}$	340. 48 \pm 0. 77 °
	15.0	—	297.48 $\pm 0.98^{\circ}$	348.80 $\pm 0.89^{\rm b}$	351.94 ± 0.49^{a}	—	347.08 $\pm 0.30^{\rm b}$

注:同一行中右上角标小写字母相同者差异不显著(p<0.05),不同者差异显著,下同;"一"为热谱图中曲线反方向偏移导致该点不可取。

将表 1 中的 T_{on} 或 T_p 分别代入 Ozawa – Flynn – Wall 等转化率方程中,以升温速率 β 的对数值对氧 化起始温度 1/ T_{on} 或氧化峰值温度 1/ T_p 制图,图 3 是 以玉米油为例表示其 lg β 与 1/ T_{on} 间的线性关系。 由图 3 可知, lg β 与 1/ T_{on} 间具有较好的线性关系, 可用方程 y = -4 909x + 11.83 表示,其决定系数为 0.993 8。除个别情况外,其他油脂样品线性拟合决 定系数也均大于 0.94(表 2),说明 Ozawa – Flynn – Wall 等转化率法较适用于本研究所得数据。利用 式(1)~(3),求得的动力学参数列于表 2。通过上 述动力学的求解,6 种油样在 T_{on} 处的活化能分别 为:棕榈油,132.50 kJ/mol;橄榄油,95.16 kJ/mol;葵 花籽油,90.69 kJ/mol;玉米油,89.32 kJ/mol;大豆 油,71.29 kJ/mol;芝麻油,106.44 kJ/mol。在 T_p 处 的活化能分别为:橄榄油,92.73 kJ/mol;橄榄油,





77.77 kJ/mol; 葵花籽油, 74.37 kJ/mol; 玉米油,
71.98kJ/mol; 大豆油, 84.79 kJ/mol; 芝麻油,
89.89 kJ/mol。油脂体系组分复杂,在热降解过程中
会同时发生多个反应,因此所得的活化能为油脂样
品在该温度附近所发生诸多热氧化反应的表观活化

能^[22, 24]。活化能可表征物质发生反应的难易程 度^[25],以活化能的高低评定油脂样品的氧化稳定性 从低到高排序,*T*_{on}为大豆油、玉米油、葵花籽油、橄 榄油、芝麻油、棕榈油;*T*_o为玉米油、葵花籽油、橄榄 油、大豆油、芝麻油、棕榈油,这与以 *E_a*(*T_{on}*)高低的 排序结果略有差异,说明单一指标表征并不全面,而 应结合不同阶段的多个指标从多个方面综合评价油 脂的氧化稳定性^[26]。

	表 2	植物油样品的动力学参数
Tab. 2	Kinetic	parameters of vegetable oil samples

	参数	棕榈油	橄榄油	葵花籽油	玉米油	大豆油	芝麻油
	$\lg \beta 与 1/T_{on}$ 关系式	$y = -7\ 282x + 16.\ 10$	$y = -5\ 230x + 12.\ 30$	y = -4.984x + 12.11	y = -4.909x + 11.83	$y = -3\ 918x + 9.\ 478$	$y = -5\ 850x + 13.\ 55$
$T_{\rm on}$	$E_a/(kJ \cdot mol^{-1})$	132. 50	95.16	90. 69	89.32	71.29	106.44
	A/\min^{-1}	1.63×10^{14}	3.60 $\times 10^{10}$	2. 44 $\times 10^{10}$	1.30×10^{10}	7.24×10^{7}	5.72×10^{11}
	R^2	0.9928	0.9573	0.8940	0.9938	0. 992 0	0. 981 2
	$\lg \beta 与 1/T_{on}$ 关系式	$y = -5\ 096x + 9.\ 123$	$y = -4\ 274x + 8.\ 594$	y = -4.087x + 7.745	y = -3.956x + 7.517	y = -4.660x + 8.434	y = -4.940x + 9.135
T	$E_a/(kJ \cdot mol^{-1})$	92.73	77.77	74. 37	71.98	84.79	89.89
I p	A/min ⁻¹	2. 46×10^{7}	1.13×10^{6}	1.28×10^6	7.84 $\times 10^{5}$	5. 50 $\times 10^{6}$	42. 61 $\times 10^{7}$
	R^2	0.9976	0.8934	0.9680	0.9480	0.9676	0. 985 2

2.3 样品热氧化动力学特性与其甘油三酯组成的 相关性

对油脂样品的甘油三酯分析结果见表 3,按甘油骨架上连接脂肪酸的不饱和程度可将甘三酯分为 三饱和脂肪酸甘三酯(Trisaturated triacylglycerol, TSTAG)、单饱和脂肪酸甘三酯(Monosaturated triacylglycerol, MSTAG)、二饱和脂肪酸甘三酯 (Disaturated triacylglycerol,DSTAG)和三不饱和脂肪 酸甘三酯(Triunsaturated triacylglycerol,TUTAG)。 由表3可知,不同植物源的油脂具有不同的甘三酯 分布及特征甘三酯。其中,棕榈油由于富含棕榈酸 (C16:0)和油酸(C18:1)^[27],其特征甘三酯为POO

表 3 植物油样品的甘油三酯质量分数

Tab. 5 That yighter of compositions of vegetable on samples							
甘油三酯组成	棕榈油	橄榄油	葵花籽油	玉米油	大豆油	芝麻油	
LLnLn	_	_	_	_	1.86 ± 0.03^{a}	_	
LLLn	—	—	—	1.09 ± 0.05^{b}	9.12 $\pm 0.06^{a}$	0. 21 \pm 0. 01 °	
MPP	0.48 ± 0.03^{a}		—			—	
LLL + OLLn	—	$1.68 \pm 0.06^{\circ}$	39.91 ± 0.07^{a}	28.44 $\pm 0.14^{\rm b}$	23. 53 $\pm 0.09^{\circ}$	11. 15 $\pm 0.05^{d}$	
OLL + OLPo	—	6.92 ± 0.09^{d}	23. 57 $\pm 0.14^{a}$	25.22 ± 0.04^{a}	19.67 $\pm 0.16^{b}$	17. 34 $\pm 0.14^{\circ}$	
LLP	—	1.74 ± 0.11^{e}	10. 44 \pm 0. 09 ^c	14. 12 $\pm 0.05^{b}$	16. 51 $\pm 0.07^{a}$	8.43 $\pm 0.10^{d}$	
MPL	2. 31 $\pm 0.06^{a}$	—	—	—	—	—	
OOL	0.91 ± 0.02^{e}	18.18 $\pm 0.14^{a}$	5.61 \pm 0.11 ^d	8.38 \pm 0.15 °	6. 79 \pm 0. 05 ^d	15.67 $\pm 0.05^{\rm b}$	
PLO + SLL	9.05 \pm 0.05 $^{\rm b}$	6. 51 \pm 0. 07 ^d	8.80 $\pm 0.06^{\circ}$	9.08 \pm 0.03 ^b	10.96 $\pm 0.09^{a}$	8. 40 $\pm 0.05^{\circ}$	
PPL	8.60 $\pm 0.01^{a}$	$1.90 \pm 0.09^{\circ}$	0.98 \pm 0.04 $^{\rm e}$	1.21 ± 0.07^{d}	1.79 $\pm 0.03^{\circ}$	5. 52 $\pm 0.02^{b}$	
000	0.98 ± 0.03^{f}	38.37 $\pm 0.10^{a}$	3. 43 \pm 0. 07 ^d	4.78 $\pm 0.06^{\circ}$	2. 37 $\pm 0.06^{\circ}$	14. 93 $\pm 0.07^{b}$	
SLO	5. 51 $\pm 0.09^{a}$	2.63 $\pm 0.03^{\circ}$	3. 52 $\pm 0.06^{b}$	2. 59 $\pm 0.04^{\circ}$	2. 26 \pm 0. 12 ^d	3.18 \pm 0.15 ^b	
POO	31.77 $\pm 0.12^{a}$	15.27 $\pm 0.06^{b}$	1.50 \pm 0.03 $^{\rm e}$	2. 42 \pm 0. 08 ^d	2. 38 \pm 0. 01 ^d	11.12 $\pm 0.09^{\circ}$	
PPO	28.41 $\pm 0.06^{a}$	1.41 $\pm 0.05^{\circ}$	1.07 $\pm 0.08^{d}$	1.63 ± 0.11^{b}	$0.95 \pm 0.03^{\circ}$	0.47 ± 0.02^{f}	
S00	4.06 $\pm 0.04^{a}$	2.65 $\pm 0.03^{b}$	0. 53 $\pm 0.10^{d}$	0.42 ± 0.03^{d}	0.65 \pm 0.05 ^d	1.63 $\pm 0.05^{\circ}$	
PSO	2. 26 $\pm 0.05^{a}$	1.78 $\pm 0.08^{b}$	—	—	$0.63 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$	1.44 ± 0.03^{b}	
MMM	0. 51 \pm 0. 01 ^a	—	—	—	—	—	
PPP	4. 25 $\pm 0.02^{a}$	—	—	—	_	—	
AOO	—	0.35 ± 0.03^{b}	_	0.50 ± 0.06^{a}	—	0. 51 \pm 0. 08 ^a	
SSO	0.90 ± 0.08^{a}	0.45 ± 0.05^{b}	—	—	—	—	
OOB	_	_	0.64 ± 0.06^{a}	_	0.48 ± 0.03^{b}	_	
TSTAG	5. 24 $\pm 0.11^{a}$	—	_	—	—	_	
MSTAG	50. 35 \pm 0. 07 ^a	29.15 $\pm 0.06^{\circ}$	25.43 $\pm 0.05^{d}$	29.13 $\pm 0.05^{\circ}$	33. 24 \pm 0. 04 ^b	33. 31 \pm 0. 04 ^b	
DSTAG	42. 52 \pm 0. 15 ^a	5. 54 \pm 0. 11 °	2. 05 \pm 0. 09 ^d	2. 84 \pm 0. 03 $^{\circ}$	3.37 \pm 0.11 ^b	7.39 $\pm 0.08^{b}$	
TUTAG	1.89 $\pm 0.04^{\rm f}$	65.15 $\pm 0.07^{\circ}$	72. 52 $\pm 0.07^{a}$	67.91 $\pm 0.06^{b}$	63. 34 \pm 0. 06 ^d	59.30 $\pm 0.08^{\circ}$	

注:M,肉豆蔻酸(C14:0);P,棕榈酸(C16:0);Po,棕榈油酸(C16:1);S,硬脂酸(C18:0);O,油酸(C18:1);L,亚油酸(C18:2);Ln,亚麻酸(C18:3);A,花生酸(C20:0);B,山嵛酸(C22:0)。

(31.77%)和 PPO (28.41%);且 其 TSTAG (5.24%)、MSTAG (50.35%)、DSTAG (42.52%)含量均高于其他样品,而 TUTAG (1.89%)含量低于其他样品,且除棕榈油外其他油样均不含 TSTAG;橄榄油富含油酸^[20],因此其含 ROO 型甘三酯较多,如 OOO(38.37%)、OOL(18.18%)和 POO(15.27%);葵花籽油和玉米油甘三酯组成相似,均含有较多的 LLL + OLLn (39.91%, 28.44%)和 OLL + OLPo (23.57%, 25.22%),其中葵花籽油 TUTAG (72.52%)含量高于其他样品;大豆油一般含 5%左右亚油酸^[18],因此较其他油样 LLLn (9.12%)含量 显著增多;芝麻油甘油酯分布较均匀,且含有较多的 MSTAG (33.31%)和 DSTAG (7.39%)。

对油脂甘三酯组成及分布与其热降解过程中的 热力学参数(氧化起始温度 *T*_{on}、氧化峰值温度 *T*_p、 活化能 *E*_a)进行皮尔森相关性分析,相关系数的正 负及大小代表不同组分对油脂氧化稳定性的影响程 度^[9],为保证结果的可靠性,对所有样品中质量分 数均低于 1% 的甘三酯不予考虑,具体结果见表 4。

 $T_{v} \mathcal{D} E_{a}$ 间有着不同程度的相关性。其中,油脂在 T.,,处的活化能与高饱和程度甘三酯呈显著正相关, 如 MPL(0.83)、PPL(0.89)、PPP(0.83),而与不饱 和程度较高的甘油酯呈负相关,如 OLL + OLPo (-0.73),但与 MSTAG 及 DSTAG 的相关性则与甘 三酯中不饱和脂肪酸链的种类有关,其中与含油酸 链较多的甘油酯呈显著正相关,如 POO(0.90),而 与含亚油酸链较多的甘油酯呈负相关,如 LLP (-0.81)。研究证明,饱和---CH,---可抑制自由基 对脂肪酸链的攻击,提高甘三酯的氧化稳定性^[28]。 油脂的氧化起始温度与甘三酯组成间的相关性与 T.,.处活化能的结果相似,且与多个组分相关性极显 著,如 MSTAG(0.92),因此可根据油脂的化学组成 推断其氧化起始温度的高低进而预判油脂的热稳定 性。油脂的氧化峰值温度 T_n同样与 TSTAG 及相关 甘三酯呈正相关,而与 TUTAG 及相关组分呈负相 关,如 000(-0.92),但 T_n与 MSTAG 及 DSTAG 组 分相关性不显著,油脂在T。处活化能与各组分的相 关性与 $E_a(T_{an})$ 相似但相关性有所减弱,产生上述 现象的原因可能是氧化峰值温度附近甘油三酯的降 解已进入末期,并转化成一系列次级氧化产物,样品 质量变化同时受后者积累的影响。此外,本研究所 用植物油样中会含有一定量的天然抗氧化成分,如 酚类物质(生育酚、多酚等),但已有研究证明油脂 的热力学特性与其总酚含量及分布相关性不显著, 因上述酚类物质在 200℃ 前已发生降解并挥发,对

表 4 植物油样品热氧化特性与其甘三酯组成的相关性分析 Tab. 4 Correlation analysis between thermal oxidation

properties and triacylglycerol compositions of

vegetable oil samples

甘油三酯组成	$T_{\rm on}$	$E_{a}(T_{_{\rm on}})$	$T_{\rm p}$	$E_{a}(T_{\rm p})$
LLnLn	-0.19	- 0. 63	0.40	0.16
LLLn	-0.23	- 0. 66	0.41	0.11
LLL + OLLn	-0.88 *	- 0. 63	0.19	-0.64
OLL + OLPo	-0.89 *	-0.73	0.09	- 0. 62
LLP	-0.75	-0.81 *	0.33	-0.40
MPL	0.84 *	0.83 *	0.46	0.62
OOL	-0.15	-0.24	0.78	-0.17
PLO + SLL	-0.15	-0.33	0.86*	0.21
PPL	0. 94 * *	0. 89 *	0.44	0. 88 *
000	0.06	- 0. 03	- 0. 92 * *	-0.16
SLO	0.73	0. 90 *	0.41	0.56
POO	0. 96 * *	0. 90 *	0.09	0.68
PPO	0.83 *	0.83 *	0.45	0.60
S00	0. 93 * *	0.84 *	- 0. 05	0.64
PSO	0. 92 * *	0.72	- 0. 09	0.75
PPP	0.84 *	0.83 *	0.46	0.62
TSTAG	0.84 *	0. 83 *	0.46	0.62
MSTAG	0. 92 * *	0.77	0.55	0.81
DSTAG	0.90 *	0.87 *	0.43	0.69
TUTAG	-0.91 *	-0.84 *	- 0. 47	-0.73

注:*表示相关性在 0.05 水平上显著,**表示相关性在 0.01 水平上显著。T_{on}、T_p均以 5℃/min 进行分析,其他升温速率情况下所得结果相近。

后续热谱图影响微弱^[9,29],因此本研究未予考虑。

3 结束语

利用热重及微商热重法,对常见植物油的热氧 化特性进行了探究,通过分析不同温控程序对热重 及微商热重曲线的影响,发现氧化起始温度和氧化 峰值温度均随着升温速率的升高而增大,且T_n比 Ton变化更为敏感。利用 Ozawa - Flynn - Wall 等转 化率方程对油脂样品进行了动力学推导及求解,拟 合结果理想,所得热力学参数(E_a ,A)均可表征油脂 的热氧化稳定性。同时,通过分析油脂热氧化特性 与其甘三酯组成及分布间的相关性,发现氧化进程 中 T_{on} 及 $E_a(T_{on})$ 多与高饱和程度甘三酯含量呈正 相关,而与高不饱和程度甘三酯含量呈负相关,且相 关性与甘油酯中脂肪酸链的种类有关; T_{a} 与 $E_{a}(T_{a})$ 同样与 TSTAG 呈正相关,与 TUTAG 呈负相关,但与 MSTAG及 DSTAG 有关组分相关性有所减弱。对 "热氧化特性-化学组成"相关性的探索初步明晰了 不同组分对油脂热氧化进程的影响,并为油脂热稳 定性的预判,油脂加工、精炼及储藏条件的控制提供 一定的实验依据。

247

参考文献

- 1 CHOE E, MIN D B. Mechanisms and factors for edible oil oxidation [J]. Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety, 2006, 5(4):169-186.
- 2 AIDOS I, LOURENCO S, PADT A V D, et al. Stability of crude herring oil produced from fresh byproducts: influence of temperature during storage[J]. Journal of Food Science, 2002, 67(9):3314-3320.
- 3 张振山,张丽霞,李栋,等. 热重法对亚麻籽油的氧化动力学研究[J]. 食品工业科技,2013,34(11):62-64. ZHANG Z S, ZHANG L X, LI D, et al. Study on the oxidation kinetics of flaxseed oil by thermogravimetry[J]. Science and Technology of Food Industry,2013,34(11):62-64. (in Chinese)
- 4 BARRIUSO B, ASTIASARÁN I, ANSORENA D. A review of analytical methods measuring lipid oxidation status in foods: a challenging task[J]. European Food Research and Technology, 2013, 236(1):1-15.
- 5 曹君,李红艳,邓泽元.植物油氧化稳定性的研究进展[J].食品工业科技,2013,34(7):378-386.
- CAO J, LI H Y, DENG Z Y. Research progress in oxidative stability of vegetable oil [J]. Science and Technology of Food Industry, 2013, 34(7):378-386. (in Chinese)
- 6 刘悦,金青哲,王兴国.DSC 在油脂加工过程及产品中的应用[J].中国油脂,2004,29(9):51-54.
 LIU Y, JIN Q Z, WANG X G. Application of DSC in oil processing and production[J]. China Oils and Fats,2004,29(9):51-54. (in Chinese)
- 7 MAGGIO R M, CERRETANI L, BARNABA C, et al. Application of differential scanning calorimetry-chemometric coupled procedure to the evaluation of thermo-oxidation on extravirgin olive oil[J]. Food Biophysics, 2012, 7(2):114-123.
- 8 WARAHO T, MCCLEMENTS D J, DECHER E A. Mechanisms of lipid oxidation in food dispersions[J]. Trends in Food Science & Technology, 2011, 22(1):3-13.
- 9 VECCHIO S, CAMPANELLA L, NUCCILLIA, et al. Kinetic study of thermal breakdown of triglycerides contained in extra-virgin olive oil[J]. Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry, 2008, 91(1):51-56.
- 10 CHIAVARO E, VITTADINI E, TERODRIGUEZ-ESTRADA M, et al. Differential scanning calorimeter application to the detection frefined hazelnut oil in extra virgin olive oil[J]. Food Chemistry, 2008, 110(1):248-256.
- 11 MONTEAGUDOS I M, SALDANM D A, KENNELLYJ J. Kinetics of non-isothermal oxidation of anhydrous milk fat rich in conjugated linoleic acid using differential scanning calorimetry [J]. Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry, 2012, 107(3): 973-981.
- 12 CHIAVARO E, RODRIGUEZ-ESTRADAM T, BENDINI A, et al. Differential scanning calorimetry thermalproperties and oxidative stability indices of microwave heated extra virgin olive oils[J]. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 2011, 91(2):198-206.
- 13 ADHVARYU A, ERHANA S Z, LIU Z S, et al. Oxidation kinetic studies of oils derived from unmodified and genetically modified vegetables using pressurized differential scanning calorimetry and nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy [J]. Thermochimica Acta, 2000, 364(1-2):87-97.
- 14 TOMASSETTI M, FAVERO G, CAMPANELLA L. Kinetic thermal analytical study of saturated mono-, di- and tri-glycerides[J]. Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry, 2013, 112(1):519 - 527.
- 15 BOUZIDI L, BOODHOO M, HUMPHREYK L, et al. Use of first and second derivatives to accurately determinekey parameters of DSC thermographsin lipid crystallization studies[J]. Thermochimica Acta, 2005, 439(1-2):94-102.
- 16 FLYNN J H, WALLL A. A quick direct method for determination of activation energy from thermogravimetric data [J]. Journal of Polymer Science Part B-Polymer Letters, 1966, 4(5):323-328.
- 17 TAKEO O. A new method of analyzing thermogravimetric data[J]. Bulletin of the Chemical Society of Japan, 1965, 38(11): 1881-1886.
- 18 张东,龙伶俐,薛雅琳,等.基于高效液相色谱一串联四极杆飞行时间质谱法的大豆油甘油三酯组成特性分析[J].中国油脂,2012,37(12):81-84.
 ZHANG D. LONG L.L. XUE X.L. et al. Analysis of each or all triplus rides shows triplus rides and the UDLC (0, TaF[L], China Oila

ZHANG D, LONG L L, XUE Y L, et al. Analysis of soybean oil triglycerides characteristics by HPLC/Q—ToF[J]. China Oils and Fats, 2012, 37(12):81 - 84. (in Chinese)

- 19 安广杰,侯冰冰,王瑛瑶,等. 超高效液相色谱法测定油脂中甘三酯组成[J]. 中国油脂,2011,36(5):55-58.
 AN G J, HOU B B, WANG Y Y, et al. Determination of triglycerol emposition in oil by ultra performance liquid chromatography
 [J]. China Oils and Fats,2011,36(5):55-58. (in Chinese)
- 20 ROMBAUT R, CLERCQ N D, FOUBERT, et al. Triacylglycerol analysis of fats and oils by evaporative lightscattering detection [J]. Journal of American Oil Chemists Society, 2009, 86(1):19-25.
- 21 TANA C P, CHE MAN Y B, SELAMATB J, et al. Application of arrhenius kinetics to evaluate oxidative stability in vegetable oils by isothermal differential scanning calorimetry[J]. Journal of America Oil Chemists Society, 2001,78(11):1133-1138.
- 22 SALDAÑA M D A, MARTÍNEZ-MONTEAGUDO S I. Applications of calorimetry in a wide context-differential scanning calorimetry, isothermal titration calorimetry and microcalorimetry[M]. Taichung: INTECH, 2013:445-474.

10 MHz [J]. Science and Technology of Food Industry, 2012, 33(8): 152-155. (in Chinese)

- 12 NUNES A C, BOHIGAS X, TEJADA J. Dielectric study of milk for frequencies between 1 and 20 GHz[J]. Journal of Food Engineering, 2006, 76(2): 250-255.
- 13 何克娟,运风侠,赵孔双. 牛乳及其主要成分相的介电谱及解析[J]. 食品科学, 2009,30(19): 147-152. HE Kejuan, YUN Fengxia, ZHAO Kongshuang. Dielectric spectral analysis of milk and its primary constituents [J]. Food Science, 2009,30(19): 147-152. (in Chinese)
- 14 GUO Wenchuan, ZHU Xinhua, LIU Hui, et al. Effects of milk concentration and freshness on microwave dielectric properties [J]. Journal of Food Engineering, 2010, 99(3): 344 - 350.
- 15 ZHU Xinhua, GUO Wenchuan, LIANG Zhibin. Determination of the fat content in cow's milk based on dielectric properties [J]. Food & Bioprocess Technology, 2015, 8(7): 1-10.
- 16 ZHU Xinhua, GUO Wenchuan, JIA Yunpeng, et al. Dielectric properties of raw milk as functions of protein content and temperature [J]. Food and Bioprocess Technology, 2015, 8(3): 670-680.
- 17 朱新华,郭文川. 影响食品射频-微波介电特性的因素及影响机理分析[J]. 食品科学, 2010, 31(17): 410-414. ZHU Xinhua, GUO Wenchuan. A review of affecting factors and their mechanisms of the radio frequency-microwave dielectric properties of foods [J]. Food Science, 2010, 31(17): 410-414. (in Chinese)
- 18 郭文川,王婧,刘驰.基于介电特性的薏米含水率检测方法[J].农业机械学报,2012,43(3):113-117.
 GUO Wenchuan, WANG Jing, LIU Chi. Predicating moisture content of pearl barley based on dielectric properties [J].
 Transactions of the Chinese Society for Agricultural Machinery, 2012, 43(3): 113-117. (in Chinese)
- 19 GUO Wenchuan, WU Xiaoling, ZHU Xinhua, et al. Temperature-dependent dielectric properties of chestnut and chestnut weevil from 10 to 4 500 MHz[J]. Biosystems Engineering, 2011, 110(3): 340 - 347.
- 20 NELSON S O. Frequency- and temperature-dependent permittivities of fresh fruits and vegetables from 0.01 to 1.8 GHz[J]. Transactions of the ASAE, 2003, 46(2): 567 - 574.
- 21 GUO Wenchuan, LIU Yi, ZHU Xinhua, et al. Dielectric properties of honey adulterated with sucrose syrup[J]. Journal of Food Engineering, 2011, 107(1): 1-7.
- 22 WANG Jian, TANG Juming, WANG Yifeng, et al. Dielectric properties of egg whites and whole eggs as influenced by thermal treatments [J]. LWT— Food Science and Technology, 2009, 42(7): 1204 1212.
- 23 GUO Wenchuan, ZHU Xinhua, NELSON S O, et al. Maturity effects on dielectric properties of apples from 10 to 4 500 MHz[J]. LWT—Food Science and Technology, 2011, 44(1): 224 - 230.
- 24 ZHU Xinhua, GUO Wenchuan, WU Xiaoling. Frequency- and temperature-dependent dielectric properties of fruit juices associated with pasteurization by dielectric heating[J]. Journal of Food Engineering, 2012, 109(2): 258 266.
- 25 ZHU Xinhua, KANG Fei. Frequency- and temperature-dependent dielectric properties of goat's milk adulterated with soy protein [J]. Food and Bioprocess Technology, 2015,8(11): 2341 - 2346.

(上接第 247 页)

- 23 KOWALSKI B, RATUSZ K, KOWALSKA D, et al. Determination of theoxidative stability of vegetable oils by differential scanning calorimetry andrancimat measurements [J]. European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology, 2004, 106(3):165-169.
- 24 FEREIDOON S, YING Z. Lipid oxidation and improving the oxidative stability [J]. Chemistry Society Review, 2010, 39(11): 4067-4079.
- 25 CIBULKOVÁ Z, ČERTÍK M, DUBAJ T. Thermooxidative stability of poppy seeds studied by non-isothermal DSC measurements [J]. Food Chemistry, 2014, 150(5):296 - 300.
- 26 GIUFFRIDA F, DESTAILLATS F, EGART M H, et al. Activity and thermal stability of antioxidants by differential scanning calorimetry and electron spin resonance spectroscopy [J]. Food Chemistry, 2007, 101(3):1108-1114.
- 27 CHIAVARO E, VITTADINI E, RODRIGUEZ-ESTRADA M T, et al. Monovarietal extra virgin olive oils: correlation between thermal properties and chemical composition [J]. Journal of Agricultral and Food Chemistry, 2007, 55(26):10779-10786.
- 28 MARCIN U, MALGORZATA M, GRZEGORZ L. Use of differential scanning calorimetry to study lipid Oxidation. 1. oxidative stability of lecithin and linolenic acid[J]. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 2005, 53(23):9073-9077.
- 29 ALEGRÍA C P, LORENZO C, ALESSANDRA B, et al. Evaluation of the influence of thermal oxidation in the phenolic composition and in antioxidant activity of extra-virgin olive oils[J]. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 2007, 55(12): 4771-4780.